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## A. BACKGROUND

SEBI, vide its circular No SEBI/ HO/ MIRSD/ DOS3/ CIR/ P/ 2019/ 70 dated June 13, 2019 issued revised guidelines for Credit Rating Agencies (CRAs) as regards Computation of Long run and Short run average Cumulative Default Rates (CDR) based on Marginal Default Rates (MDR) approach using monthly static pools.
CRAs are required to disclose, on an annual basis, the average one-year, two-year and threeyear cumulative default rates (based on weighted average) each for: a) Last 10-financial years period (Long-run average default rates) and b) 24, 36 and 48 most recent cohorts, respectively (Short-run average default rates).
In order to enable investors to discern the performance of a CRA vis-à-vis a standardised PD benchmark scale, SEBI also advised CRAs to disclose standardised and uniform PD benchmarks on their websites for ratings of long-term and short-term instruments.

## B. THE APPROACH:

1. Marginal Default Rate (MDR): MDR is defined as the number of entities defaulting in a particular year in a specific rating category as a proportion of the number of entities in that rating category in the cohort at the beginning of the year under study, adjusted for withdrawals. As advised by SEBI, we use Monthly Static Pools for computation of MDRs.
2. Cohort: Cohorts consists of the total issuers of a particular rating at the beginning of the year of study.
3. Cumulative Default Rate (CDR): CDR captures the default rate over one or more-than-one-year horizon. In calculating the CDR the weighted average marginal default rates (MDRs) of the various cohorts are used, the weights being the number of issuers in the cohorts across various rating categories.

Let us calculate 1-year, 2-year, 3-year CDRs using the MDRs for the year 2011 for rating B which will give us an overview of our overall calculations.

In the first step, we calculate the MDRs for FY2012, FY2013 and FY2014 with regards to a cohort which is formed of the companies having the rating A in 2011. The Cohort Size (C) remains fixed for the time horizon T for which the CDRs are meant to be calculated.

Note:- - In order to adjust for rating withdrawal, we exclude all the ratings withdrawn during a year from the initial static pool.

However, in case of Securities, as per SEBI Guidelines, the withdrawn ratings are included in the computation of default rates till the completion of the cohort or the maturity of the instrument, whichever is earlier (this arises on account of a provision for early rating withdrawal permitted by SEBI, in case of repayment of $50 \%$ of the debt or $3 / 5$ years based on certain conditions). Accordingly, all Debenture Trustees (DTs) are required to report to Infomerics any delays/ default in payment on debentures for the lifetime of the instrument, irrespective of the rating on that instrument being withdrawn.

MDR1 $=($ Defaults in the year FY2012 out of C $) /(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{W} 1)$

MDR1: Marginal default rate for FY2011-2012
W1: Withdrawals in FY2012 out of C
Similarly,

MDR2 $=($ Incremental Defaults in the year FY2013 out of C) $/(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{W} 1-\mathrm{W} 2) \times(1-\mathrm{MDR} 1))$
MDR2: Marginal default rate for year FY2012-2013
$\mathrm{W} 2=$ Incremental withdrawals in FY2013 out of C

Note: We only take incremental defaults into consideration here and not the defaulters who had been taken into consideration earlier in the calculation of MDR1
and

MDR3 $=($ Incremental Defaults in the year FY2014 out of C) $/(\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{W} 1-\mathrm{W} 2-\mathrm{W} 3) \mathrm{x}(1-$ MDR1) x ( 1 - MDR2)

MDR3: Marginal default rate for year FY2013-2014
W3 = Incremental withdrawals in FY2014 out of C
After the calculation of various MDRs we proceed onwards towards the calculation of CDRs in question with the help of them.

The calculation of CDR1 (1- year CDR) is straightforward as it is equal to MDR1 as both represent a single year.

Thus, CDR1 = MDR1

In case of CDR2 (2-year CDR) we take into consideration the default rate of year 1 and the conditional probability of the issuers surviving the first year (using their survival rate) multiplied by the default rate of year 2 (MDR2).

So, CDR2 $=$ MDR1 $+(1-\mathrm{MDR} 1) *$ MDR2

$$
=1-(1-\mathrm{MDR} 1) *(1-\mathrm{MDR} 2)
$$

We see that CDR2 is the sum of default rate of year 1 and the probability that a firm which has survived year 1 or the survival rate ( 1 - MDR1) multiplied by the default rate of year 2 (MDR2).

Similarly, 3- year CDR (CDR3) can be calculated using a similar formula,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{CDR} 3 & =\mathrm{MDR} 1+(1-\mathrm{MDR} 1) * \mathrm{MDR} 2+(1-\mathrm{MDR} 1) *(1-\mathrm{MDR} 2) * \mathrm{MDR} 3 \\
& =1-(1-\mathrm{MDR} 1) *(1-\mathrm{MDR} 2) *(1-\mathrm{MDR} 3)
\end{aligned}
$$

The reasoning for this is similar to that of CDR2.

| Rating | Year | Cohort Size | CDR1 | CDR2 | CDR3 | MDR1 | MDR2 | MDR3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| A | 2011 | 242 | $0.41 \%$ | $0.41 \%$ | $0.82 \%$ | $0.41 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.41 \%$ |
| A | 2012 | 244 | $0.00 \%$ | $0.82 \%$ | $3.26 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.82 \%$ | $2.46 \%$ |
| A | 2013 | 235 | $0.43 \%$ | $1.27 \%$ | NA | $0.43 \%$ | $0.85 \%$ | NA |
| A | 2014 | 222 | $0.45 \%$ | NA | NA | 0.3 | NA | NA |

We proceed onwards towards the calculation of Average CDRs (CDR1, CDR2 and CDR3) over the time horizon T (2011-2015) using the weighted average method where the weights used are the size of the cohort for the particular period.

Therefore,
(where i goes from 2011 to 2014)

|  | RATING | CDR1 | CDR2 | CDR3 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| AVA | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |  |
|  | AA | $0.00 \%$ | $0.22 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ |
|  | A | $0.32 \%$ | $0.83 \%$ | $2.05 \%$ |
|  | BBB | $0.72 \%$ | $2.92 \%$ | $5.90 \%$ |
|  | BB | $5.29 \%$ | $8.75 \%$ | $10.17 \%$ |
|  | B | $20.67 \%$ | $31.13 \%$ | $41.73 \%$ |
|  | C | $100.00 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ | NA |

Thus, we have calculated the required CDRs and Average CDRs based on MDRs using monthly static pools method.

## 4. Rating of Non-Co-operative Issuers

As advised by SEBI, ratings of non- co-operative issuers are included in the cohort under the rating category in which the instrument is currently being rated.

